

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

JUN 2 7 2012

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

CERTIFIED MAIL 7009 1680 0000 7644 9328 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. William E. Murphie Manager Portsmouth/Paducah Project Office U.S. Department of Energy 1017 Majestic Drive, Suite 200 Lexington, Kentucky 40513

Mr. Woodrow B. Jameson Fluor-B&W Portsmouth, LLC Post Office Box 548 Piketon, Ohio 45661

> Re: Notice of Violation RCRA Compliance Inspection U.S. DOE Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant, Piketon, Ohio OH7 890 008 983

Dear Messrs. Murphie and Jameson:

On June 27, 2011 representatives of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) inspected the U.S. DOE Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (U.S. DOE-Portsmouth) installation located at 3930 US Route 23 South, Piketon, Ohio. The purpose of the inspection was to evaluate compliance with certain requirements of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA); specifically, those regulations related to the generation, treatment and storage of hazardous waste. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your reference.

Based upon information provided by U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Fluor-B&W Portsmouth, LLC (FBP), and Wastren-EnergX Mission Support, LLC (WEMS) personnel, review of records, and physical observations by the inspectors, EPA has determined that DOE and FBP violated certain requirements of the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) and the United States Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). We find that DOE and FBP were in noncompliance with the following requirements:

Except as provided in paragraphs (A)(1) to (A)(4) of OAC Rules 3745-279-20 to 3745-279-24 of the OAC apply to all used oil generators. See, OAC Rule 3745-

279-20(A). Used oil generators are subject to all applicable spill prevention, control and countermeasures (40 CFR Part 112) in addition to the requirements of Rules 3745-279-20 to 3745-279-24 of the OAC. See, OAC Rule 3745-279-22. Specifically, containers and aboveground tanks used to store used oil at generator facilities must be labeled or marked clearly with the words "Used Oil." See, OAC Rule 3745-279-22(C) [40 CFR § 279.22].

During the inspection of Building X-326, the inspectors observed six pails of used oil that were not labeled "Used Oil." At the time of the inspection, U.S. DOE-Portsmouth was in violation of OAC Rule 3745-279-22(C) [40 CFR § 279.22(c)(1)]. On June 30, 2011, Mr. Daniel Mains sent EPA and Ohio EPA photographs of the appropriately labeled pails. Based on this information, DOE and FBP have resolved the violation of OAC Rule 3745-279-22(C) [40 CFR § 279.22(c)(1)].

A small quantity handler of universal waste must manage lamps in a way that prevents releases of any universal waste or component of a universal waste to the environment. Specifically, a small quantity handler of universal waste must contain any lamp in containers or packages that are structurally sound, adequate to prevent breakage, and compatible with the contents of the lamps. Such containers and packages must remain closed and must lack evidence of leakage, spillage, or damage that could cause leakage under reasonably foreseeable conditions. See, OAC Rule 3745-273-13(D)(1) [40 CFR § 273.13(d)(1)]. In addition, each lamp or container or package in which such lamps are contained must be labeled or marked clearly with one of the following phrases: "Universal Waste-Lamp(s)," or "Waste Lamp(s)," or Used Lamp(s)." See, OAC Rule 3745-273-14(E) [40 CFR § 273.14(e)].

During the inspection of the Electrical Shop in Building X-330, the inspectors observed uncontainerized used fluorescent lamps and three boxes of unlabeled used fluorescent lamps. DOE - Portsmouth was in violation of OAC Rule 3745-273-13(D)(1) [40 CFR § 273.13(d)(1)] and OAC Rule 3745-273-14(E) [40 CFR § 273.14(e)]. On June 29, 2011 Ms. Rosemary Richmond sent an e-mail to EPA and Ohio EPA of the containerized and labeled used fluorescent lamps. Based on this information, DOE and FBP have resolved the violations of OAC Rule 3745-273-13(D)(1) [40 CFR § 273.13(d)(1)] and OAC Rule 3745-273-14(E) [40 CFR § 273.14(e)].

This letter is to inform you that EPA has reviewed the referenced information, and does not plan additional enforcement action at this time. This letter does not limit the applicability of the requirements evaluated, or of other federal or state statutes or regulations. EPA and the Ohio EPA will continue to evaluate DOE and FBP in the future.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Walt Francis, of my staff, at (312) 353-4921.

Sincerely,

Raxy Victorine, Chief RCRA Branch

Enclosure

cc: Melody Stewart, OEPA - Southeast District Office

bcc: Tim Thurlow, C-14J

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION 5** 77 W. JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60604

RCRA COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION REPORT

FACILITY NAME:

PORTSMOUTH GASEOUS DIFFUSION PLANT

FACILITY U.S. EPA ID NO.:

OH7 890 008 983

FACILITY TYPE:

Large Quantity Generator/Container Storage Facility

FACILITY ADDRESS:

3930 US Route 23 South

Piketon, Ohio 45661

U.S. EPA REPRESENTATIVE:

Walt Francis

DATE(S) OF INSPECTION:

June 27, 2011

SIC CODE:

2819 - Industrial Inorganic Chemicals, Not Elsewhere

Classified

NAICS CODE:

325188 - All Other Basic Inorganic Chemical

Manufacturing

PREPARED BY: Want 9

7/18/2011

Walt Francis

Environmental Scientist

ACCEPTED BY:

Paul Little, Chief

Compliance Section 2

RCRA Branch

Purpose of Inspection

The purpose of this inspection was to conduct a Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) at the U.S. Department of Energy Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (Portsmouth), Piketon, Ohio to determine its compliance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC), and the RCRA Permit requirements with respect to U.S. DOE's management of hazardous waste, universal waste and used oil.

Participants

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Inspector - Walt Francis, Environmental Scientist

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) Inspector -Melody Stewart, Hazardous Waste Inspector

Representatives of U.S. DOE, Fluor-B&W Portsmouth, LLC (FBP), Wastren-EnergX Mission Support, LLC (WEMS) and Restoration Services (RSI)-

Kristi Wiehle, U.S. DOE

Rosemary Richmond, FBP

Chris Guilliams, FBP

Robert Anderson, WEMS

Mitch Newman, FBP

Amy Lawson, RSI

Jim Thomson, FBP

Frank Johnstou, FBP

Dale Jackson, RSI

Bridget Eslinger, FBP

Jesse Pack, Insolves

Daniel Mains, FBP

Rob Lyon, FBP

James Fontaine, FBP

Site Description/Background Information

Historically, the main function of the Portsmouth facility was to enrich uranium for military use (nuclear submarines) and commercial reactors through a gaseous diffusion process. This involved the separation of U235 from the U238 isotope in uranium hexafluoride (UF6) feedstock which contains 0.711% U235. The Plant had produced enriched uranium continuously since September 1954. In 1993, the uranium enrichment facilities at the plant were leased to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC). U.S. DOE retained ownership of the ongoing site environmental restoration program as well as the permitted hazardous waste storage facilities.

Numerous other activities associated with the plant's main function also occur on-site and are leased by USEC. These include decontamination of equipment and uranium recovery (X-705 Bldg.); chemical cleaning of equipment (X-700); maintenance crafts, including paint, sheet metal, machining, valve, compressor, welding, electrical, motor rewind, metallurgy, instruments and carpentry (X-720); laboratory services (X-710); wastewater treatment (X-6619); water treatment (X-611); chromium removal (X-616); uranium operations, fluorine generation and cylinder handling (X-344); photo and printing lab (X-100); vehicle repair (X-750); coal pile runoff treatment (X-621); and electrical and utilities system.

Hazardous waste and mixed waste which was generated from the gaseous diffusion and associated processes leased by USEC is stored in U.S. DOE owned and permitted storage facilities. Waste generated by U.S. DOE and FBP from the environmental restoration is also stored in these facilities. USEC also generates non mixed radioactive hazardous waste which is shipped out of Building XT-847. USEC ceased the enrichment process in May 2001.

Uranium contaminated hazardous wastes (mixed waste) which were/are generated by USEC and U.S. DOE are stored on-site in U.S. DOE-owned and operated hazardous waste container storage facilities for longer than one year. Historically, this was due to the limited number of treatment, storage and disposal (TSD) facilities in the United States which could accept mixed waste, and a May 1991 U.S. DOE moratorium on off-site waste shipment. A large percentage of the waste generated at Portsmouth is U.S. DOE-generated mixed waste from the site-wide cleanup activities. This is also stored in U.S. DOE-owned storage areas. U.S. DOE sends some waste off-site (to U.S. DOE Oakridge) for treatment prior to final disposal. Treatment residuals are returned to U.S. DOE - Portsmouth for storage in the interim prior to their final disposal.

U.S. DOE/FBP generated hazardous wastes are primarily shipped to Energy Solutions, Clive, Utah, Perma-Fix, Gainesville, Florida, and Diversified Scientific Services, Inc. (DSSI), Kingston, Tennessee. In addition, a wide variety of radioactive and other nonhazardous wastes are generated as a result of the above processes. Uranium Disposition Services is working on a project to recover material from depleted uranium hexafluoride cylinders.

U.S. DOE has received \$119 million dollars from the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 for several projects including: decontamination and decommissioning (D&D) of the X-633 Recirculating Cooling Water Tower Complex; D&D of the X-760 Chemical Engineering Building; X-701B Groundwater Plume Removal; Repackaging and Disposition of Excess Uranium Materials; and D&D of the X-533 Switchyard Complex.

On March 25, 2011, Ohio EPA renewed the RCRA Permit for U.S. DOE. The renewed RCRA Permit includes six container storage areas in Building X-326.

Opening Conference

On June 27, 2011, Walt Francis and Melody Stewart arrived at Building X-1000 at approximately 8:00 a.m. and informed the U.S. DOE and FBP representatives of the nature, scope, and procedures for the RCRA inspection. The inspection was conducted by U.S. EPA and Ohio EPA personnel as a Federal lead inspection. The facility representatives provided the team with a brief update of the facility since the last inspection, and a list of current hazardous waste satellite accumulation area (SAA) containers, hazardous waste less than 90 day accumulation areas, and universal waste accumulation areas. Ms. Rosemary Richmond allowed the inspectors access to the facility to conduct the inspection.

Site Tour

The walk-through began in Building X-326. Mr. Guilliams showed the inspectors a Universal Waste accumulation container in the Control Room (ACR 5). The inspectors observed a blue container utilized for small incandescent bulbs. The inspection continued to container storage Area Number 3. The inspectors observed approximately thirty hazardous waste totes from Building X-700 labeled "D002/D007/D008/D010". Inspector Francis noted an accumulation date of "2/23/2010" on one of the totes. The inspection continued to Areas Number 5 in Building X-326. Inspector Francis observed a 55-gallon container labeled "Incinerator Ash, D005/D006" with an accumulation date of "9/18/95". The inspection continued to Area Number 2. The inspectors observed containers of hazardous waste and containers labeled "UF4 Waste". The inspection continued to Column T-69. The inspectors observed two 55-gallon containers labeled "Used Oil". The inspection continued to Area Number 4. The inspectors observed several containers labeled hazardous waste "Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)". The inspection continued to the Building X-326 L-Cage permitted storage area. The inspectors toured the L-Cage area. The inspectors noted several "RFD" container numbers so the waste profiles could pulled by FBP personnel. Inspector Francis noted RFD number 56666-1 (X-710 TCLP Filters 1/11/2006) and RFD number 62047 "D007 – 9/23/09". The inspection continued to the South Drain Oil Tank in Building X-326, at Column L-88. The inspectors were introduced to Mr. Jack Tully, Building X-326 Building Superintendant. Mr. Tully explained how the pails of used oil are accumulated and placed in a 55-gallon used oil container. The inspectors noted that the small metal pails were not labeled "Used Oil". Inspector Stewart asked Mr. Tully about a drain in this area. Mr. Tully told the inspectors that it has a sump pump with a level and a sprinkler alarm which ultimately discharges to the storm sewer. The inspection continued in Building X-326 to Area Number 1 and a less than 90 day hazardous waste accumulation area. The inspectors observed approximately 15 "Poly" bottles labeled hazardous waste "Top Purge" with an accumulation date of 5/1/2011. The inspection continued to a less than 90-day accumulation area at Column C-48. The inspectors observed a 55-gallon container of hazardous waste with a "6/15/2011" accumulation date. The inspection continued to an SAA container DMSA #3 at Column F32. The inspectors observed a 55-gallon container of drip leg liquid (D008) and PCBs in this area with an accumulation date of "1/22/2009".

The inspection continued to Building X-330. Mr. Guilliams showed the inspectors SAA container DMSA #7 at column L71. The inspectors observed a 55-gallon hazardous waste container labeled "PCB Drip Leg, D008". The inspection continued to a less than 90 day accumulation area at column L49. The inspectors observed a container labeled "Aerosol Cans" with a "6/10/2011" accumulation date. The inspection continued to column L-50. The inspectors observed several polybottles stacked over a metal pan. The metal pan appeared to have an accumulation of used oil. The inspection continued to an Electrical Shop in Building X-330, at column F-49. The inspectors observed several uncontainerized used fluorescent lamps on a shop work bench and three cardboard boxes of used fluorescent lamps that were unlabeled. undated, and open. The inspection continued to a tank labeled "Lube/Drain Tank X-29-2". The inspectors observed several unlabeled metal buckets of used oil. The inspection continued to a Universal Waste Accumulation Area at column W35 in Building X-330. The inspectors observed cardboard boxes of used fluorescent lamps. Inspector Francis noted several accumulation dates including "2/1/2011", "4/25/2011", and "6/23/2011". Mr. Guilliams told the inspectors that the Universal Waste Accumulation Area at column W35 serves as a staging area for all universal waste used lamps from the process buildings. The inspection continued to Building X-333. Inspector Francis noted a container labeled "Lube Oil" at column C-42. The inspection continued to an SAA container DMSA#13 at column Ux48. The inspectors observed a 55-gallon container labeled "Drip Leg PCBs D008" with an accumulation date of "3/16/2011". The inspection continued to Building X-533. The inspectors met Mr. John Howard. Mr. Howard showed the inspectors the scrap metal operations. The inspection continued to Building X-630. The inspectors observed a less than 90 day hazardous waste accumulation area with a 55gallon container of D008 hazardous waste with a "6/23/2011" accumulation date. The inspection continued to Building X-700 where the inspectors met Mr. Bob Anderson. Mr. Anderson showed the inspectors an SAA container for used solvent rags, an SAA container for used aerosol cans, a container labeled "Used Oil", a container labeled "Used Antifreeze", a 5-gallon container of used paint thinner/paint, and several containers of used fluorescent lamps. Inspector Francis noted that the accumulation dates were "5/17/2011", "5/18/2011", and "4/26/2011". The inspection continued to Building X-720. Mr. Anderson showed the inspectors a cardboard box and a plywood box for accumulating used fluorescent lamps. Inspector Francis noted the accumulation dates as "5/9/2011" and "4/26/2011". The inspection continued to Building X-701. The inspectors observed a SAA container labeled "Filters/PPE".

The inspection continued to Building X-623 where the inspectors met Mr. Greg Thompsen. The inspectors observed four 750 gallon tanks labeled hazardous waste F001 and radioactive waste with a "6/10/2011" accumulation date, and three 55-gallon containers labeled "F001" with accumulation dates of "4/9/2011", "5/5/2011", and "5/20/2011". The inspectors also reviewed the weekly inspection logs for Building X-623. The inspection continued to Building X-624 where Mr. Greg Thompsen showed the inspectors a 55-gallon SAA container. Inspector Francis observed a 55-gallon container that was labeled "Waste Bag Filters F001". The inspection continued to Building X-344B. The inspectors observed two 55-gallon containers of broken used fluorescent lamps dated "6/27/2011", a container labeled "Universal Waste" dated "6/1/2011", four 55-gallon containers labeled "Paint Hardener" (RFD Number 11-002194) and two unlabeled

55-gallon containers, and a one gallon rusty paint can (RFD Number 11-002197, "5/3/2011".

The inspection continued to Building X-533. The inspectors met Mr. Keith Bodenbender. Mr. Bodenbender explained the current demolition activities in the Switchyard. The inspection continued to Building X-747H. Mr. Guilliams showed the inspectors a less than 90 day accumulation area and a universal waste accumulation area. The inspectors observed a universal waste container of sodium lamps, sixteen lead acid batteries, a container of broken used fluorescent lamps (dated "4/2/2011"), and a 30-gallon container of empty aerosol cans.

The inspectors then returned to Building X-1000 to review records.

Records Review

A record review was conducted. The inspection team requested to review hazardous waste manifests, land disposal restriction forms, mixed-waste shipment documentation, universal waste and used oil shipping records, personnel training information, weekly inspection logs, waste profiles for hazardous waste in storage, and the latest version of the contingency plan. The inspectors reviewed hazardous waste manifests since the date of the last inspection, two years of personnel training records, waste profiles, less than 90-day hazardous waste accumulation area closure final inspection and close-out letters, and weekly inspection logs. Universal Waste was being shipped to USA Lamp and Ballast Recycling, Cincinnati, Ohio, and the date of the last off-site shipment was 6/7/2011. The inspectors reviewed a Contingency Plan that was last updated on March 21, 2011.

Closing Conference

The inspectors conducted a closing conference. Inspector Francis explained that he would review his notes from the inspection, and generate an inspection report. U.S. DOE and FBP would then receive a letter from U.S. EPA regarding the inspection including a copy of the inspection report, and completed inspection checklists. Inspector Francis noted that during the inspection of Building X-326 and Building X-330 several containers of used oil were not labeled "Used Oil", and during the inspection of Building X-330 "Electrical Shop", the inspectors observed uncontainerized used fluorescent lamps and three cardboard boxes of used fluorescent lamps that were not labeled. In addition, Inspector Francis mentioned that outside of Building X-344B, the inspectors observed several containers adjacent to a universal waste accumulation area.

Attachments

Inspection Checklists.

STATE PART B HAZARDOUS WASTE PERMIT INSPECTION CHECKLIST

DIVISION OF HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT OHIO EPA June 2011

Table of Contents Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant 04-66-0680

RCRA Inspection Checklist	
General Conditions of Permit	2
General Permit Compliance and Activities	
Permit Modification, Revision, Revocation	5
Site Entry - Availability of Records	
Recordkeeping Requirements	7
Confidentiality	7
Operating Record	7
Annual Report Requirement	9
Sampling/monitoring Recordkeeping Requirements	
Waste Minimization Requirements	
Off-site Shipments/manifest Requirements	11
Off-site Shipments/manifest Requirements	11
Waste Analysis/waste Analysis Plan	11
General Requirements	12
waste Analysis Quality Assurance Requirements	1.4
General Inspection Requirements	
Security Provisions/facility Operation	15
Personnel Training	
Required Equipment	16
Contingency Plan Requirements	17
Emergency Procedures	17
Emergency Authorities	18
Emergency Coordinator	18 19
Amendment of Plan	19
Contingency Plan Requirements	20
Closure Plan/amendment	
Closure Activities	20
Requirements for Ignitable, Reactive or Incompatible Wastes	22
Storage of Hazardous Wastes in Containers	24
Condition of Containers	25
Inspections	25
Containment System	26
Required Aisle Space	27
Closure and Post-closure	
Container Storage of nestudal waste	
Land Disposal Restriction Requirements	28
Prohibition Against Dilution	28
Generator Requirements	28
Notification/certification	
SUBSIDE OF CALIFORNIA DESCRIPTION OF SUBSIDES	

PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Historically, the main function of the DOE-PORTS facility was to enrich uranium for military use (nuclear submarines) and commercial reactors through a gaseous diffusion process. This involved the separation of U235 from the U238 isotope in UF6 feedstock which contains 0.711% U235. However, in 1993, the uranium enrichment facilities at the plant were leased to the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC). USDOE retained ownership of the ongoing site environmental restoration program as well as two permitted hazardous waste storage facilities.

Numerous other activities associated with the plant=s main function also occur on-site and are leased by USEC. These include decontamination of equipment and uranium recovery (X-705 Bldg.); chemical cleaning of equipment (X-700); maintenance crafts, including paint, sheet metal, machining, valve, compressor, welding, electrical, motor rewind, metallurgy, instruments and carpentry (X-720); laboratory services (X-710); wastewater treatment (X-6619); water treatment (X-611); chromium removal (X-616); uranium operations, fluorine generation and cylinder handling (X-344); photo and printing lab (X-100); vehicle repair (X-750); coal pile runoff treatment (X-621); and electrical and utilities system.

Hazardous waste and mixed waste which was generated from the gaseous diffusion and associated processes leased by USEC is stored in DOE owned and permitted storage facilities. Waste generated by DOE from the environmental restoration is also stored in these facilities. USEC ceased the enrichment process in May 2001.

WASTE MANAGEMENT, GENERATION AND AMOUNT

Uranium contaminated hazardous wastes (mixed waste) which were/are generated by USEC and DOE are stored on-site in DOE-owned and operated hazardous waste container storage facilities for longer than one year. Historically, this was due to the limited number of TSDs in the U.S. which could accept mixed waste, and a May 1991 DOE moratorium on off-site waste shipment. A large percentage of the waste generated at PORTS is DOE-generated mixed waste from the site-wide cleanup activities. This is also stored in DOE-owned storage areas. During the past year, numerous shipments of hazardous waste were manifested off-site by both DOE and USEC. This is due to an increase in treatment capacity at off-site commercial treatment facilities and DOE treatment facilities, the lifting of the moratorium, and the execution of the site treatment plans for DOE and USEC. DOE sends some waste off-site (to USDOE Oakridge) for treatment prior to final disposal. Treatment residuals are returned to DOE for storage in the interim prior to their final disposal.

Hazardous

The DOE Part B permit lists numerous hazardous waste codes for wastes that are generated by the above processes and the environmental restoration. These are stored in drums ranging in size from 30 to 110 gallon capacity, 5 gallon containers, 20 gallon lab packs, 5' cans, 4'x4'x6' boxes, 4'x4'x8' boxes, polybottles and laboratory bottles.

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF PERMIT

GENERAL PERMIT COMPLIANCE AND ACTIVITIES

1.	Has	s the expiration date of the permit passed? If so:	YesNo_X_N/ARMK#
	a.	Is the permittee continuing any activity regulated by the permit after the expiration date of the permit?	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
	b.	Has the facility submitted an application for a permit renewal to the director no later than 180 days prior to the expiration date of the permit? (Or upon a later date if the permittee can demonstrate good cause for late submittal.) [Condition A.6.(a)]	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
NOTE:		The permittee may continue to operate in accordance with expired permit until a renewal permit is issued or denied in	
		A. The permittee has submitted a timely and complete OAC rule 3745-50-40; and	application for a renewal permit under
		B. Through no fault of the permittee, a new permit has 3745-50-40 on or before the expiration date of the p	
2.	pay befo	s the permittee submitted the annual permit fee, vable to Treasurer, State of Ohio, to Ohio EPA on or ore the anniversary of the date of issuance during the n of the permit? [Condition A.26]	Yes X No N/ARMK#
3.	mai whi	he permittee conducting any hazardous waste nagement activities (not otherwise exempt by law) ich are not authorized by the permit? [Condition A.1.(b) d A.5]	YesNo_X_ N/ARMK#
4.		ve any provisions of the permit been identified as alid? [Condition A.4.]	YesNo_X_N/ARMK#
5.	with the	s the facility identified any instances of noncompliance in the permit, RC Chapter 3734, or the rules adopted reunder, which may endanger human health or the vironment? If so:	YesNo <u>X</u> N/A <u>*</u> RMK#

	human health and the environment and to reduce and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance?	YesNo N/A _X_RMK#
Note:	The permittee need not comply with the five day written report cause shown by the permittee, waives that requirement and t within 15 days of the time the permittee becomes aware of th	rt requirement if the director, upon good the permittee submits a written report
7.	Has the permittee expeditiously taken all steps necessary to minimize or correct any adverse impact on the environment or public health resulting from noncompliance with the permit? [Condition A.8]	YesNo N/A _X_RMK#
8.	Has the permittee identified any other instances of noncompliance not provided for in Question 5?	YesNo N/A _X_RMK#
	 a. If so, did the permittee report to the director within a month of the time at which the permittee is aware of such noncompliance? [Condition A.22.] 	YesNo N/A <u>X_</u> RMK#
	b. Do the reports provided contain the information set forth in Condition A.20?	YesNo N/A <u>X_RMK#</u>
9.	Has the permittee planned any physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility?	YesNo_X_ N/ARMK#
	a. If so, has the facility provided Ohio EPA with notice of such changes? [Condition A.15]	YesNo N/A <u>X_RMK#</u>
Note:	Such notification does not waive the permittee's duty to comp	ply with the permit pursuant to Condition
	7 1.01	

REMARKS

PERMIT MODIFICATION. REVISION. REVOCATION

	 Has the permittee promptly submitted such facts or corrected information to the appropriate entity? [Condition A.24.] 	YesNo N/A <u>X_RMK#</u>
7.	Is the permittee maintaining records of all data used to complete the approved application and any amendments, supplements, revisions or modifications to the application? [Condition A.14.(c)]	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
3.	Is the permittee retaining a complete copy of the approved application on-site? [Condition A.14.(c)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	DEMADVO	•

4	a.	A description and the quantity of each hazardous waste received?	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
	b.	Method(s) and date(s) of treatment, storage or disposal at the facility?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	C.	The location of each hazardous waste within the facility and the quantity at each location?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
3.	cert revi	ne permittee maintaining, until closure is complete and ified, the following documents and amendments, sions and modifications to these documents as part of operating record: [Condition A.28.]	
	a.	Waste analysis plan in accordance with OAC rule 3745-54-13 and the conditions of the permit?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
•	b.	Contingency plan in accordance with OAC rule 3745-54-53 and the conditions of the permit?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
÷	c.	Closure plan in accordance with OAC rule 3745-55-12 and the conditions of the permit?	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
	d.	Personnel training plan and records required by OAC rule 3745-54-16 and the conditions of the permit?	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
	e.	Inspection schedules developed in accordance with OAC rules 3745-54-15 and 3745-55-74 and the conditions of the permit?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
4.		ve any of the documents identified in Question #3 been ised as required by the permit? If so,	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	a.	Has the permittee submitted the revisions to Ohio EPA? [Condition A.28.(b)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	b.	Has the permittee received approval in accordance with Ohio hazardous waste rules to make such changes? [Condition A.28.(b)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
5.	the	the permittee maintaining copies of all inspection logs at facility for a period of at least three years from the date inspection? [Condition A.28.(c)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#

WASTE MINIMIZATION REQUIREMENTS

A.29.(c)?

10. Has the permittee submitted a Waste Minimization Report to Ohio EPA meeting the requirements of Condition A.29. of the permit within 180 days of permit journalization?

a. Following the first submittal as identified above in Question #10, has the permittee submitted biennial

Yes X No N/A RMK#_

Yes X No N/A RMK#_

updates to this report as required by Condition

REMARKS

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1.	Does the permittee have a detailed chemical and physical analysis of waste streams which contains all information which is necessary to properly treat, store or dispose of the waste in accordance with OAC Chapters 3745-54 to 3745-57 and Condition B.3 of the permit (Section C of the approved permit application)? [OAC 3745-54-13(A)(1)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
2.	Since the last inspection, were any wastes generated by the facility which were unable to be characterized through process knowledge? If so,	Yes_X_No_ N/ARMK#
	a. Were the waste analysis procedures described in Section C of the approved permit application followed?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
3.	Is the permittee following the procedures described in the approved waste analysis plan (Section C of approved permit application) and the requirements of OAC rule 3745-54-13? [Condition B.3.]	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
4.	Is the permittee maintaining waste analysis data in the facility's operating record as required by OAC rule 3745-54-73 and Condition B.22. of the permit?	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
WASTE 5.	ANALYSIS QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS Is the permittee verifying the analysis of each waste stream annually as part of its quality assurance program in accordance with SW-846? [Condition B.3.]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
6. ·	In accordance with Condition B.3. of the permit, does the permittee's quality assurance plan ensure that the permittee is, at a minimum:	· .
	a. Maintaining property functional instruments?	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
	b. Using approved sampling/analytical methods?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#

GENERAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS 1. Is the permittee following the inspection procedures and Yes_X_No__ N/A ___RMK#_ schedules described in Section F of the approved permit application and the requirements of OAC rule 3745-54-15? [Condition B.5.] 2. Does the permittee inspect the facility with such regularity Yes X No N/A RMK# as to identify problems resulting from deterioration, malfunctions, operator errors or discharges which may lead to a release of hazardous waste to the environment or a threat to human health? [OAC 3745-54-15(A)(1)(2)] 3. Is the permittee following the approved inspection Yes X No N/A RMK# schedule for inspecting: monitoring equipment, safety equipment, emergency equipment, security devices and operating and structural equipment as specified in OAC rule 3745-54-15? Is the schedule kept at the facility? [OAC 3745-54-Yes X No N/A RMK#_ 15(B)(2)] Does the schedule identify the types of problems Yes X No N/A RMK# which are to be looked for during the inspection? [OAC 3745-54-15(B)(3)] Does the schedule include inspection of areas Yes X No N/A RMK# subject to spills daily when in use and according to other applicable regulations when not in use? [OAC 3745-54-15(B)(4)] Does the permittee remedy deterioration or any N/A 4. Yes X No RMK# malfunctions discovered by an inspection as required by OAC rule 3745-54-15(C)? [Condition B.5.] 5. Is the permittee maintaining records of inspections for a Yes X No N/A RMK#_

minimum of three years? [Condition B.5.]

Date and time of inspection?

the following information:

In accordance with OAC rule 3745-54-15(D) and

Condition B.5. of the permit, do inspection records contain

6.

Yes X No N/A RMK#

PERSONNEL TRAINING

1.	s the facility conducting personne with Section H of the approved pe following requirements of OAC rul [Condition B.6.]	rmit application and the		
·	a. The facility provides personne instruction in safe equipment emergency procedures and in contingency plan? [OAC 374]	operation and mplementation of the	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/A	_RMK#
	b. The facility provides personnemployees within six months employment as required by C	after their date of	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/A	_RMK#
	c. The facility provides an annu course as required by OAC r		Yes_X_NoN/A	_RMK#
2.	Is the permittee maintaining person required by OAC rule 3745-54-16 application, including: written job and documented employee training B.6.]	(D) and of the approved itles, job descriptions	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/A	_RMK#
REQUIR	ED EQUIPMENT			
1.	Is the permittee, at a minimum, m set forth in the approved permit a the facility? [Condition B.9.]		Yes <u>X_</u> No N/A	_RMK#
2.	Is the permittee inspecting, testing equipment specified in Question operation as specified in OAC rule	#1 to assure its proper	Yes <u>X_No</u> N/A	_RMK#
	inspection plans and Section G o application? [Condition B.10.]			
3.	Whenever hazardous waste is be facility, has the permittee provide in the operation with immediate a alarm or emergency communicate OAC rule 3745-54-34 and Section permit application? [Condition B.	d all personnel involved ccess to an internal on device as required by n G of the approved	Yes <u>X</u> No N/A	_RMK#

EMERGENCY AUTHORITIES 3. Has a state or local agency declined to enter into the Yes No X N/A RMK# arrangements set forth in OAC rule 3745-54-37(A)? If so, Has the permittee documented the refusal in the Yes No N/A X RMK# operating record as required by OAC rule 3745-54-37(B)? [Condition B.13.(b)] Has the permittee, in accordance with OAC rule 3745-54-Yes_X_No___N/A ___RMK#_ 4. 53 submitted a copy of the approved contingency plan (including amendments, revisions or changes) to all local authorities, agencies and response contractors designated in the approved contingency plan? [Condition B.18.] 5. Has the permittee notified the agencies in Question #4, in Yes X No N/A RMK# writing, within ten days of the effective date of any amendments or revisions to the Plan? [Condition B.18.(b)] Yes X No__ N/A ___RMK#_ Has the permittee submitted a copy of the approved 6. contingency plan and all revisions, amendments and modifications to the Ohio EPA, Division of Emergency and Remedial Response in accordance with OAC rule 3745-54-53? [Condition B.18.(c)] EMERGENCY COORDINATOR Is the permittee in compliance with the requirements of Yes X No N/A RMK# 7. OAC rule 3745-54-55 with regard to the emergency coordinator? [Condition B.19.]

AMENDMENT OF PLAN

8. Is the permittee reviewing the approved contingency plan regularly and amending the plan immediately if needed in compliance with OAC rule 3745-54-54? [Condition B.17.]

Note: Also see Question #4 of <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> to verify that any changes to the contingency plan were submitted in accordance with OAC rule 3745-50-51.

CONTINGENCY PLAN REQUIREMENTS

CLOSURE PLAN/AMENDMENT

1.	Is the permittee maintaining at the facility, the approved closure plan which contains the elements set forth in OAC rule 3745-55-12? [Condition B.29.]	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
2.	Has the permittee amended the closure plan? If so,	YesNo_X_N/ARMK#
	a. Has the plan been amended in accordance with OAC rule 3745-55-12(C)? [Condition B.28.]	YesNo N/A <u>X_RMK#</u>
NOTE:	Also see <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> (Question the closure plan were submitted in accordance with OAC re	
CLOSU	RE ACTIVITIES	
3.	Has the permittee closed the facility? If so,	YesNo_X_N/ARMK#
	 Was closure conducted in accordance with the closure performance standard of OAC rule 3745-55- 11? [Condition B.26.] 	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
	b. Did the permittee carry-out the approved closure plan as set forth in the permit application and terms and conditions of the permit? [Condition B.26.]	YesNo N/A <u>X_</u> RMK#
	c. After receiving the final volume of hazardous waste, did the permittee remove from the facility all hazardous waste and mixed waste and complete closure activities in accordance with the schedule	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
	specified in the approved closure plan and as required by OAC rule 3745-55-13? [Condition B.31.]	
	 d. Has the permittee decontaminated and/or disposed of all facility equipment, structures and soils as required by OAC rule 3745-55-14 and the approved closure plan? [Condition B.32.] 	

REQL	<u>IIREMENTS FOR IGNITABLE, REACTIVE OR INCOMPATIBL</u>	
1.	Is the permittee following the procedures as specified in OAC rules 3745-54-17, 3745-55-77 and Section F of the approved application when managing ignitable, reactive and/or incompatible wastes? [Conditions B.7.(a) and C.10. and C.11.]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
2.	Does the permittee <i>not</i> store incompatible waste <i>except</i> in accordance with OAC rules 3745-54-17(B) and 3745-55-77, and the terms and conditions of this permit? [Condition C.11.(a)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
3.	Does the permittee take precautions to prevent placing hazardous waste or mixed waste in an unwashed container that previously held an incompatible waste or material? [Condition C.11.(b)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
4.	Does the permittee ensure that all containers of incompatible wastes are physically separated from other incompatible wastes or materials by a wall, berm, dike, or other device in accordance with OAC rule 3745-55-77 and the Appendix to OAC rule 3745-55-99? [Condition C.11.(c)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	 a. Are all containers of aqueous hazardous acids (ph ≤ 2) and caustics ph ≥ 12.5) sorted on different pallets and physically separated in different rooms? [Condition C.11.(c)] 	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	 Are aqueous acids and caustics in poly bottles, and other containers requiring nuclear criticality safety spacing physically separated in the same room by a dike? [Condition C.11.(c)] 	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	c. Are containers of cyanides and sodium metals being stored in rooms physically separate from other incompatible wastes or other incompatible materials? [Condition C 11 (c)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#

STORA	GE OF HAZARDOUS WASTES IN CONTAINERS	·
NOTE:	The requirements of permit Condition C do not apply to the paccumulating hazardous waste for < 90 days per OAC rule 3 applicable sections of the Generator Requirements checklist associated with < 90-day accumulation of wastes.	3745-52-34(A). Please complete the
1.	Is the permittee storing in containers, only those wastes as specified in Section A of the Part B permit application? [Condition C.1.(a), C.2.]	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
2.	Does the permittee limit the total quantity of containerized waste in the container storage area to 133,000 gallons at any given time in the permitted container areas, located in building X-326? [Condition C.1.(a)] 2,418	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
NOTE:	For the purposes of compliance with the capacity limitation of considered to be storing an amount of hazardous waste equivalent drum will be considered to be holding 55 gallons of wastered in the drum. [Condition C.1.(b)]	al to its capacity. For example, a 55-
3.	When accumulating waste within the permitted X-326 container storage area, does the permittee ensure that the total amount of waste (both > 90 days and < 90 days) does not exceed the maximum container storage inventory established under Condition C.1.? [Condition C.1.(c)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
4.	Are hazardous wastes subject to regulation by the permit stored only at the designated container storage area described in the approved permit application? (See Section D of the permit application) [Condition C.1.(a)]	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/ARMK#
5.	Is each container stored clearly marked to identify its contents and the date each period of accumulation/storage begins? (See Section D of the permit application) [Condition C.3.]	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/ARMK#
6.	Does the permittee store hazardous waste in the types of containers described in Section D of the approved permit application? [Condition C.1.(a)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
		•
		•
COND	ITION OF CONTAINERS	

-	a.	Does the permittee note the results of these inspections in the inspection log along with any remedial action taken? [Condition C.8.]	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
	b.	On days when containerized waste are added or removed to and/or from any of the permitted areas for storage, does the permittee conduct inspections as described in Section F of the approved Part B permit application and maintain the inspection results in the facility operating record? [Condition C.8.]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
CONTA	NINM	ENT SYSTEM	
15.	des	es the permittee maintain the containment system as scribed in Section D of the approved Part B permit plication, including: [Condition C.6.]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	a.	Sufficient design to contain 10% of the total volume of the containers or the volume of the largest container, whichever is greater? [Condition C.6.(b)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	b.	A system which is free of gaps and sufficiently impervious to contain leaks and spills?	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	c.	Equipped with a coating which is compatible with each waste stored in the area?	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	d.	For those wastes which are deemed incompatible with liner material: Has the permittee installed a separate secondary containment structure within the existing structure which is equipped with a compatible liner?	YesNo N/A _X_RMK#
16.		s the permittee had a spill or leak of wastes or an cumulation of precipitation in the containment system?	YesNo_X_ N/ARMK#
	a.	Are spilled or leaked wastes and accumulated precipitation removed from the sump or collection area in a timely manner? [Condition C.6.(c)]	YesNo N/A _X_RMK#
	b.	Does removal of spilled/leaked wastes and accumulated precipitation occur within 24 hours from the time the spill or leak waste is discovered? [Condition C.6.(c)]	YesNoN/A_X_RMK#

LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTION REQUIREMENTS PROHIBITION AGAINST DILUTION

1.	Has the permittee updated the annual Federal Facility Compliance Act Schedule? [OAC rule 3745-270-50; Condition B.36.]	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/ARMK#
2.	Does the entity dilute a restricted waste or a treatment residue from a restricted waste: [OAC rule 3745-270-40 through 49; Condition B.36.(c)]	YesNo_X_ N/ARMK#
	As a substitute for adequate treatment to achieve compliance with LDR treatment standards?	YesNoN/A_X_RMK#
	b. To circumvent the effective date of a prohibition (e.g., to dilute a non-wastewater waste to a wastewater to avoid complying with the non-wastewater treatment standard)?	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
	c. To otherwise avoid a prohibition in OAC rule 3745-270-30 through -39?	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
	d. To otherwise avoid a prohibition imposed by Section 3004(d) of RCRA?	YesNo N/A <u>X</u> RMK#
NOTE:	If the answer to any of Questions 2(a) through 2(d) above is restricted waste and is in violation of OAC rule 3745-270-03 permissible under some conditions. See OAC rule 3745-27	3 [Condition B.36.]. Dilution of wastes is
GENER	ATOR REQUIREMENTS	./
3.	Has the generator adequately evaluated all wastes to determine if they are restricted from land disposal? [OAC rule 3745-270-07; Condition B.36.(e)]	Yes <u>X_</u> No_ N/ARMK#_
	 For determinations based solely on knowledge of the waste: Is supporting data used to make this determination being retained on-site? [OAC rule 3745-270-07; Condition B.36.(e)] 	Yes <u>X_</u> No N/ARMK#
	· .	

	b.	Appropriate treatment standard for the waste? [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(2); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	C.	The manifest number associated with the first shipment of waste? [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(2); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	d.	Waste analysis data, where available? [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(2); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#
	e.	Applicable wastewater/non-wastewater category [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(2); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	f.	For hazardous debris, list the contaminants subject to treatment, as described in paragraph (B) of OAC rule 3745-270-45; and an indication that these contaminants are being treated to comply with OAC rule 3745-270-45.	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	g.	For contaminated soil list the constituents subject to treatment as described in paragraph (D) of OAC rule 3745-270-49, and the following statement: This contaminated soil [does/does not] contain listed hazardous waste and [does/does not] exhibit a characteristic of hazardous waste and [is subject to/complies with] the soil treatment standards as provided in paragraph (C) of OAC rule 3745-270-49 or the universal treatment standards.	Yes_X_NoN/ARMK#
8.	ger cer rec app	r wastes that meet treatment standards: Does the nerator submit a one-time written notice and tification to the treatment, storage or disposal facility eliving the wastes stating wastes being received meet plicable treatment standards? [OAC rule 3745-270-	Yes <u>X</u> No <u>N/A</u> RMK#
		(A)(3); Condition B.36.(j)]	
		to, does the notice/certification including the following:	Voo V No. N/A DM//#
	a.	EPA hazardous waste identification number? [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(3); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes <u>X_</u> NoN/ARMK#
	b.	The corresponding treatment standards and applicable prohibitions for the waste? [OAC rule 3745-270-07(A)(3); Condition B.36.(j)]	Yes_X_No N/ARMK#

b. The date which accumulation began?

NOTE: A TSD facility may store LDR wastes on-site for the purpose of accumulating a sufficient amount of waste for proper recovery, treatment or disposal. [OAC rule 3745-270-50(B)]. During the first of storage, the burden of proof is on Ohio EPA to demonstrate that such storage is not necessary by the facility. Following one year, the burden of proof shifts to the storage facility to demonstrate that such storage of LDR wastes is necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment or disposal.

- 11. Are LDR wastes being stored at the facility for greater than one year? If so,
 - a. Has the owner/operator demonstrated that such storage is being conducted solely for the purpose of accumulating sufficient quantities of wastes necessary to facilitate proper recovery, treatment or disposal? [OAC rule 3745-270-50(B); Condition B.36(m)]

Yes_X_No___ N/A ___RMK#___

			_					·
*		LARGE QUANTITY GENERATOR REQUIREMENT COMPLETE AND ATTACH A PROCESS DESCRIPTION SI		RY			,	
SQG: LQG:	Betwee ≥ 1,000	DKg. (Approximately 25-30 gallons) of waste in a calendar month or < 1 K on 100 and 1,000 Kg. (About 25 to under 300 gallons) of waste in a calend Kg. (~300 gallons) of waste in a calendar month or ≥1 Kg. of acutely haz	dar mo ardous	nth. s wast	te in a	cale	endar	
		nvert from gallons to pounds: <u>Amount in gallons x Specific Gravity x 8.34</u> 5	5 = Am	ounts	in po	unds	<u>}.</u>	
Safety	Equipr	nent Used:						
GENE	RAL R	EQUIREMENTS						
1.	Have a 52-11]	all wastes generated at the facility been adequately evaluated? [3745-	Yes	M	Ng-		N/A	
2.	Are re 40(C)]	cords of waste determination being kept for at least 3 years? [3745-52-	Yes	X	No		N/A	
3.	Has th	e generator obtained a U.S. EPA identification number? [3745-52-12]	Yes	X	No.		N/A	
4.	Were : 41(A)]	annual reports filed with Ohio EPA on or before March 1 st ? [3745-52-	Yes	∀′	No		N/A	
5.	Are ar	inual reports kept on file for at least 3 years? [3745-52-40(B)]	Yes	¥	No		N/A	
6.		e generator transported or caused to be transported hazardous waste er than a facility authorized to manage the hazardous waste? [ORC 02(F)]	Yes		No	N I	N/A	
7.	Has that ano	e generator disposed of hazardous waste on-site without a permit or ther facility other than a facility authorized to dispose of the hazardous ? [ORC 3734.02(E)&(F)]			No	Y	N/A	
8.	Does	the generator accumulate hazardous waste?	Yes	X	No		N/Á	
		LQG does not accumulate or treat hazardous waste, it is not subject to 5 still apply, e.g., annual reports, manifest, marking, record keeping, LDR,		tanda	rds. A	All oti	her	
9.	Has th	ne generator accumulated hazardous waste on-site in excess of 90 days at a permit or an extension from the director ORC §3734.02(E)&(F)?	. C. S. 1417729	U:	No	X	N/A	
NOTE	: If F00	06 waste is generated and accumulated for > 90 days and is recycled see	3745-	52-34	(G)&((H).		
10.		the generator treat hazardous waste in a: [ORC 3734.02(E)&(F)]						
	a.	Container that meets 3745-66-70 to 3745-66-77?	Yes		Nos	Ħ	N/A	
	b.	Tank that meets 3745-66-90 to 3745-66-101 except 3745-66-97(C)?	Yes	П	No	M	N/A	
	C.	Drip pads that meet 3745-69-40 to 3745-69-45?	Yes		Nø	Ų.	N/A	
	d.	Containment building that meets 3745-256-100 to 3745-256-102?	Yes		No	A	N/A	
NOTE	: Com	plete appropriate checklist for each unit.			is any stylen			
		ste is treated to meet LDRs, use LDR checklist.			***			
11.		the generator export hazardous waste? If so:	Yes		No	X	N/A	□.
	a.	Has the generator notified U.S. EPA of export activity? [3745-52-53(A)]	Yes		No		N/A	F
	b.	Has the generator complied with special manifest requirements? [3745-52-54]	Yes		No.		N/A	Þ
	c.	For manifests that have not been returned to the generator: has an exception report been filed? [3745-52-55]	Yes		No		N/A	À
	d.	Has an annual report been submitted to U.S. EPA? [3745-52-56]	Yes		No		N/A	4

м.	b.	Job descriptions? [3745-65-16D(2)]		Yes	M	No 🔲 N/A	
	c.	Type and amount of training given to each p	erson? [3745-65-16D(3)]	Yes	D	No 🗓 N/A	
	d.	Completed training or job experience require	ed? [3745-65-16D(4)]	Yes	X	No 🗓 N/A	
27.	7. Are training records for current personnel kept until closure of the facility and are training records for former employees kept for at least three years from the date the employee last worked at the facility? [3745-65-16(E)]					Nø □ N/A	
hazarı includ	NOTE: The following section can be used by the inspector to document that all personnel who are involved with hazardous waste management have been trained. The employees who need training (written and/or on-the -job) may include the following: environmental coordinators, drum handlers, emergency coordinators, personnel who conduct hazardous waste inspections, emergency response teams, personnel who prepare manifest, etc.						
	erform:		Name of Employee			Date Traine	d
			Michael Andrews Communication			was a second sec	
CONT	INGEN	ICY PLAN					
28.	huma releas	the owner/operator have a contingency plan in health or the environment from fires, explos se of hazardous waste? [3745-65-51(A)]	to minimize hazards to sions or any unplanned	Yes	X	Na 🔳 N/A	
29.	<u> </u>	the plan describe the following:					
	а.	Actions to be taken in response to fires, exprelease of hazardous waste? [3745-65-52(A	\)]	Yes	X	No 🔲 N/A	
	b.	Arrangements with emergency authorities?	[3745-65-52(C)]	Yes	Ŋ	No EL N/A	
	C.	A current list of names, addresses and telephome) of all persons qualified to act as eme [3745-65-52(D)]		Yes	X	No II N/A	
	d.	A list of all emergency equipment, including description and brief outline of capabilities?	: location, a physical [3745-65-52(E)]	Yes	X	No 🔲 N/A	
	e.	An evacuation plan for facility personnel wh evacuation may be necessary? [3745-65-52]		Yes	Y	No 🗓 N/A	
NOTE	E: If the	facility already has a "Spill Prevention, Cont	rol and Countermeasures Pl	an" und	ler C	FR Part 112 or	40 CFR
Part 1	1510, oi	r some other emergency plan, the facility can	amend that plan to incorpor	ate haz	ardo	us waste manag	gement
30.		at are sufficient to comply with OAC requirer opy of the plan (plus revisions) kept on-site a		1		12.7-23.20 P	
30.	emer	gency authorities that may be requested to pr -65-53(A)&(B)]		Yes	¥	No □ N/A	Li
31.	equip	he generator revised the plan in response to ment and personnel changes, or failure of the	plan? [3745-65-54]	Yes	K	No □ N/A	
32.	ls an 65-55	emergency coordinator available at all times	(on-site or on-call)? [3745-	Yes	K	No 🔲 N/A	
all op	NOTE: The emergency coordinator shall be thoroughly familiar with: (a) all aspects of the facility's contingency plan: (b) all operations and activities at the facility; (c) the location and characteristics of waste handled; (d) the location of all records within the facility; (e) facility layout; and (f) shall have the authority to commit the resources needed to implement provisions of the contingency plan.						
		Y PROCEDURES					
33.		here been a fire, explosion or release of haza e constituents since the last inspection? If so		Yes		No 🔽 N/A	
	a.	Was the contingency plan implemented? [3	745-65-51(B)]	Yes		No □ N/A	P
	b.	Did the facility follow the emergency proced through (H)?	lures in 3745-65-56(A)	Yes		No 🔯 N/A	b

[Facility Name/Inspection Date]
[ID number]
LQG/August 2009
Page 3 of 6

	a.	Did the generator comply with 3745-52-34(A)(1) through (4) or other applicable generator requirements within three days? [3745-52-34(C)(2)]	Yes	V	No N/A
	b.	Did the generator mark the container(s) holding excess with the accumulation date when the 55 gallon (one quart) limit was exceeded? [3745-52-34(C)(2)]	Yes	M	No. ■ N/A □
gener	ation in hazaro	satellite accumulation area is limited to 55 gallons of hazardous waste acc the process under the control of the operator of the process generating to lous waste). There could be individual waste streams accumulated in an	he was	te (le	ss then 1 quart for
		ANAGEMENT OF CONTAINERS IN <90 DAY ACCUMULATION AREAS	3		
45.	[3745	ne generator marked containers with the words "Hazardous Waste?" -52-34(A)(3)]	Yes	図	Nø 🔲 N/A 🗌
46.	Is the	accumulation date on each container? [3745-52-34(A)(2)]	Yes	凶	No II N/A 🗆
47.	Are h	azardous wastes stored in containers which are:			
	а.	Closed (except when adding/removing wastes)? [3745-66-73(A)]	Yes	Ŋ.	No I N/A
	b.	In good condition? [3745-66-71]	Yes	Y	Nœ □ N/A □
	c.	Compatible with wastes stored in them? [3745-66-72]	Yes	X	No 🔲 N/A 📗
	d.	Handled in a manner which prevents rupture/leakage? [3745-66-73(B)]	Yes	A	No. EL N/A
NOTE	: Reco	ord location on process summary sheets, photograph the area, and record	on fac	cility i	
48.		container accumulation areas(s) inspected weekly? [3745-66-74] Per §1.44(A) "Week" means 7 consecutive days.	Yes	X	Na I N/A
	a.	Are inspections recorded in a log or summary? [3745-66-74]	Yes	X	No EI N/A 🗆
49.	meter	ontainers of ignitable or reactive wastes located at least 50 feet (15 rs) from the facility's property line? [3745-66-76]	Yes	X	No II N/A 🗆
50.	mean	ontainers of incompatible wastes stored separately from each other by s of a dike, berm, wall or other device? [3745-66-77(C)]	Yes	X	No I N/A
51.	mater	generator places incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and ials in the same container, is it done in accordance with 3745-65-17(B)? -66-77(A)]	Yes	×	No □ N/A □
52.	If the previo	generator places hazardous waste in an unwashed container that busly held an incompatible waste, is it done in accordance with 3745-65-? [3745-66-77(B)]	Yes		No E NA K
mixtu	re or co	3745-65-17(B) requires that the generator treat, store, or dispose of ignit commingling of incompatible wastes, or incompatible wastes and materials	able or so that	reac it do	tive waste, and the es not create
53.		conditions or threaten human health or the environment. generator has closed a <90 day accumulation area does the closure	Yes	K	NE SALVA
	appea	ar to have met the closure performance standard of 3745- 66-11? -52-34(A)(1)]	168		No I N/A I
that cank, 34]	losure v closure	se provide a description of the unit and documentation provided by the ge was completed in accordance with the closure performance standards. If must also be completed in accordance with OAC 3745-66-97 (except for	the gei	nerat	or has closed a <90 day
}		PORT REQUIREMENTS			
54.	applic	the generator package/label its hazardous waste in accordance with the able DOT regulations? [3745-52-30, 3745-52-31 and 3745-52-32(A)]	Yes	¥	No E N/A □
55.		each container ≤119 gallons have a completed hazardous waste label? -52-32(B)]	Yes	Y	No NA 🗆

		USED OIL INSPECTION CHECKLIST	\$41 DA				
MOTE	. A foo	GENERATORS, COLLECTION CENTERS AND AGGREGATIO				l (a a fi	rod) and
		ility is subject to the federal SPCC regulations (40 CFR 112) if it is non-trar gate above ground storage capacity greater than 1,320 gallons or a total u					
		gate above ground storage capacity greater than 1,320 gailons of a total d 42,000 gallons of oil (including used oil), and there is reasonable expectati					
water		+2,000 gailone of on infinitioning accessing, and there is reasonable expeditate	011 01 0	4730	nargo	io navig	ubic
	IBITIO	NS					
		he generator manage used oil in a surface impoundment or waste pile?	Yes	П	No	N/A	П
	If yes:	generalization manage area and a contract management	103	ш	110	A 31/A	
	a. T	Is the surface impoundment or waste pile regulated as a hazardous	Yes	in	KIA-	N/A	X
	٠.	waste management unit? [3745-279-12(A)]	169	ш	INCHE	I IVA	
NOTE	L	xample, used oil contaminated scrap metal stored in a pile.			-610200	的心臟	
2.		d oil used as a dust suppressant? [3745-279-12(B)]	VALUE OF THE PARTY	and All	N-	NI/A	
2.	15 0300	on used as a dust suppressant: [0140-210-12(b)]	Yes		No	⊠ N/A	
3.	le off c	pecification used oil fuel burned for energy recovery in devices specified			7 (1985)	N I I I A	
3.		5-279-12(C)?	Yes		NO	I N/A	M
NOTE	E: Multi,	ole used oil checklists may be applicable if used oil handler is performing n	nultiple	task	s (e.g.	, If gene	rating
used	oil and	shipping directly to a burner, complete generator and marketer checklists a	at a mi	nimui	m).		
GENE		R STANDARDS					
4.	Does t	he generator mix hazardous waste with used oil? If so,	Yes		No	₩ N/A	
	a.	Is the mixture managed as specified in 3745-279-10(B)? [3745-279-	Yes		No	N/A	K
		21(A)]					, —
NOTE	E: Use	d Oil mixed with listed (3745-51-30 to 3745-51-35) or characteristic (3745-	51-20 (o 374	15-51-	24) haza	rdous
		ibject to regulation as a hazardous waste, <u>unless</u> the listed hazardous was					
		zardous characteristic, and the resultant mixtures do not exhibit a characte	eristic.	Mixt	ures o	f used o	il and
CES		ardous waste are subject to OAC Chapter 3745-279.					
5.		the generator of a used oil containing greater than 1,000 ppm total	Yes		No.	N/A	
		ens manage the used oil as a hazardous waste unless the presumption					
		atted successfully? [3745-279-21(B)]					
		ed oil contains greater than 1000 ppm total halogens, it is presumed to l	be liste	ed ha.	zardou	is waste	until the
		is successfully rebutted.			2.100 Sept. 1	22	
6.		the generator store used oil in tanks; or containers; or a unit(s) subject to	Yes		No.	M N/A	Ш
		ition as a hazardous waste management unit? [3745-279-22(A)]					
7.		ontainers and aboveground tanks used to store used oil in good condition	Yes	¥	No	N/A	
		o visible leaks? [3745-279-22(B)]					
8.	Аге со	ontainers, above ground tanks, and fill pipes used for underground tanks	Yes		No.	N/A	
	cleariy	/ labeled or marked "Used Oil?" [3745-279-22(C)] ろは、 y-)にト と-		1			
9.		ne generator, upon detection of a release of used oil, done the following:	Yes	\square	No.	☐ N/A	
	[3745	-279-22(D)]					
	a.	Stopped the release?	Yes	K	No	N/A	
1	b.	Contained the release?	Yes	M	No⊪	N/A	
							-
	C.	Cleaned up and properly managed the used oil and other materials?	Yes	<u> </u>	No	N/A	🗖
				_		-	_
	d.	Repaired or replaced the containers or tanks prior to returning them to	Yes	¥	No	□ N/A	. 🖂
		service, if necessary?		I13			·
ON-S	SITE B	JRNING IN SPACE HEATER			Charles and	- Cartie Wales	
10.		the generator burn used oil in used-oil fired space heaters? [3745-279-					
	23] If						
	a.	Does the heater burn only used oil that owner/operator generates or	Yes	$\overline{\Box}$	No	N/A	П
1		used oil received from household do-it-vourself (DIY) used oil	1		李春港	773	_

generators?

		LL QUANTITY UNIVERSAL WASTE HANDLER REQUIREMENTS – E	ATTE	RIES	AND L	AMPS	
Large	Quan	tity Universal Waste Handler (LQUWH) = 5,000 Kg or more					
		tity Universal Waste Handler (SQUWH) = 5,000 Kg or less					
	<u>IIBITIO</u>						
1.		e SQUWH dispose of universal waste? [3745-273-11(A)]	Yes		No [☑ N/A	
2.	releas	e SQUWH dilute or treat universal waste, except when responding to ses as provided in OAC rule 3745-273-17 or managing specific wastes ovided in OAC rule 3745-273-13? [3745-273-11(B)]	Yes	SECTION SE	No [X N/A	
WAS		NAGEMENT AND LABELING/MARKING	<u> </u>				
UNIV	ERSAL	WASTE BATTERIES				~	
3.	Are ba	atteries that show evidence of leakage, spillage or damage that could bleaks contained? [3745-273-13(A)(1)]	Yes	X	No [] N/A	
4.	compa	eries are contained, are the containers closed and structurally sound, atible with the contents of the battery and lack evidence of leakage, ge or damage that could cause leakage? [3745-273-13(A)(1)]	Yes	X	Nø [□ N/A	
5.	remov	e casings of the batteries breached, not intact, or open (except to re the electrolyte)? [3745-273-13(A)]	Yes	H	No [□ N/A	\
6.	deterr	electrolyte is removed or other wastes generated, has it been nined whether the electrolyte or other wastes exhibit a characteristic cardous waste? [3745-273-13(A)(3)]	Yes		N a E	I N/A	□
	a.	If the electrolyte or other waste is characteristic, is it managed in compliance with OAC Chapters 3745-50 through 3745-69? [3745-273-13(A)(3)(a)]	Yes		Ne. [I N/A	X
	b.	If the electrolyte or other waste is not hazardous, is it managed in compliance with applicable law? [3745-273-13(A)(3)(b)]	Yes		No. I	_ N/A	Q.
7.	"Unive	e batteries or containers of batteries labeled with the words ersal Waste - Batteries" or "Waste Battery(ies)" or "Used Battery(ies)?" -273-14(A)]	Yes	Ja	No. [N/A	
UNIV		WASTE LAMPS					
8.	structi conte evidei 273-1	the SQUWH contain lamps in containers or packages that are urally sound, adequate to prevent breakage, and compatible with nts of the lamps? Are containers or packages closed and do they lack nce of leakage, spillage or damage that could cause leakage? [3745-3(D)(1)]	Yes <i>Bla</i>		Ng [N/A	
9.	cause immed structi evider releas	imps that show evidence of breakage, leakage or damage that could a release of mercury or hazardous constituents into the environment diately cleaned up? Are they placed into a container that is closed, urally sound, compatible with the contents of the lamps, and lack note of leakage, spillage or damage that could cause leakage or sees of mercury or hazardous waste constituents to the environment? -273-13(D)(2)]	Yes	X		N/A	
for su waste Crush	ich act rules (ned lam	tment (such as crushing) by a UWH is prohibited under this rule un ivities [3745-273-31(B)]. A generator crushing lamps must manage lar OAC Chapter 3745-52). Lamp crushing is a form of generator treatment ps must be transported by a registered hazardous waste transporter to a hazardous waste manifest.	mps act (OAC	cordi rul o	ing to he 3745-5	azardou 2-34).	S
10.	Are th	e lamps or containers or packages of lamps labeled with the words ersal Waste - Lamp(s)" or "Waste Lamp(s)" or "Used Lamp(s)?" [3745-	Yes		Ne 5	M N/A	

EXP	ORTS				
23.	ls wa	aste being sent to a foreign destination? If so:	Yes	No 🔀 N/A	
	a.	Does the small quantity handler comply with primary exporter requirements in OAC rules 3745-52-53, 3745-52-56, and 3745-52-57? [3745-273-20(A)]	Yes	No: [] N/A	
	b.	Is waste exported only upon consent of the receiving country and in conformance with the U.S. EPA "Acknowledgment of Consent" as defined in OAC rules 3745-52-50 to 3745-52-57? [3745-273-20(B)]	Yes	No ☐ N/A	
	C.	Is a copy of the U.S. EPA "Acknowledgment of Consent" provided to the transporter? [3745-273-20(C)]	Yes	No 🔲 NA	ŢI

Inspection Checklist for Subpart CC: Air Emission Standards (Containers)

Item # 40 CFR:

CC-1 265, 1080 Do any of the following exclusions apply? If yes, please circle.

YES

NO

Applicability: The air emission requirements apply to units subject to subpart I * unless the following apply (circle if applicable):

1. Waste was placed in unit prior to Oct. 6, 1996, and none has been added since.

- 2. The container capacity is less than .1 cubic meter (26 gallons)
- 3. A unit (e.g. tank) has stopped adding waste and is undergoing closure
- 4. The unit is used solely for onsite treatment or storage as a result of remedial activities required under
- corrective action, Superfund, or other similar state program
- 5. The unit is used solely to manage radioactive mixed waste
- 6. The unit is regulated by and operates in accordance with Clean Air Act regulations
- *Note: 1. Satellite containers are exempt 2. CESQG's and SQG's are exempt

CC-2 265:1083 Do any of the following exemptions apply? If yes, please circle

YES

NO

General Standards: The owner/operator must control air emissions from waste management units except the unit is exempt if (please circle if applicable):

- 1. All hazardous waste entering the unit has an average VO concentration at the point of origination less than 500 parts per million by weight (waste determination required)
- 2. The organic content of all waste entering the unit has been reduced by one of the 8 acceptable destruction or removal processes.
- 3. The unit is a tank used for certain biological treatment
- 4. The hazardous waste placed in the unit meets the LDR numerical concentration limits or has been treated using the specified LDR treatment technology (for organics)
- 5. The unit is a tank used for bulk feed to an incinerator and meets certain requirements

CC-3 265.1084 Waste Determination: Determination:	
CC-3 265.1084 Waste Determination: Determination	
Not Needed	A STATE OF THE STA

Was the VO concentration properly determined for each waste which the facility manages in a unit which does not meet Subpart CC requirements? The concentration must be determined by either direct measurement or knowledge. Please see 265.1084 for specific requirements for measurement and knowledge. Determination is <u>not</u> needed for waste managed in containers which meet standards. It may be necessary to evaluate container management prior to requiring VO concentration determination.

	CONTAINER MANAGEME	NT 265.1087
Level i	Level 2	Level 3
Larger than 26.4 gailons and less than or equal to 122 gallons, or larger than 122 gallons and do not manage H.W. in light material service	Larger than 122 gallons and manage H.W. "in light material service" (definition at 265.1081)	Larger than 26.4 gallons and treat H.W. by a stabilization process
CC-4 265.1087	Controls	17 (19 c)
One of the following: -Use containers that meet DOT requirements -Use a cover and control with no visible gaps, holes or other open spaces into the interior of the container -Use organic vapor suppression on or above the container 265.1087(c)	One of the following: -Use containers that meet DOT requirements -Use containers that operate with no detectable emissions (method 21) -Use containers that are demonstrated to be vapor-tight within the last 12 months (method 27) 265.1087(d)	-Containers used to stabilize H.W. with volatile organics greater than 500 ppm -For waste stabilized in a container either: 1.container must be vented directly to a control device; or 2.container is vented inside an enclosure which is exhausted through a clowent to a control device -Conservation vents are not allowed 265.1087(b)(2)